Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/564,414	SAKOH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HO SHIU	2457	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:     The period for reply expires	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed v	, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing     The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period at under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the expiration (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.  C The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 tension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding amount of the corresponding than three months after the mailing data the corresponding than three worths after the mailing data than three with 37 CFR 41.37 must be formally considered the corresponding to	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office of the final rejection, e filed within two month	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as ven if timely filed, s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to ithin the time period set forth in 37 (	avoid dismissal of the CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since :
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	nnliant Amendment (	PTOL -3241
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant / information (	TOE OLT/
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

13. Other: See Attached Interview Summary Sheet.

/Salad Abdullahi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because. Applicant alleges that Sato and Kyo do not disclose configured to receive, via a proxy device, an acquirefuse file that includes content identification information entered in information stored in a data area such that no information is removed WHEN THE ACQUIRE/USE FILE PASSES THROUGH THE PROXY DEVICE (emphasis added). The examiner disagrees with the applicant as Sato clearly discloses in col. 2, lines 25-28, col. 6, lines 24-36, a router which is a residential gateway which by definition is a proxy device that passes all requests and replies unmodified data (information of any kind is not removed) as stated in the previous office action. Kyo in col. 12, lines 28-35, teaches that the proving device 200 can be configured to store the transmitted attribute information in a form that any user cannot change. If Kyo discloses in the control of the control o